

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/349,194 07/07/99 BUECHLER K 244/121 **EXAMINER** 023620 HM12/1102 FOLEY & LARDNER GAREL PAPER NUMBER 402 WEST BROADWAY **ART UNIT** 23RD FLOOR SAN DIEGO CA 92101 1641 DATE MAILED: 11/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/349,194	BUECHLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2001 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is requinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	uired to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendme of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or b	)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the ma			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents.</li> <li>ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RIFERST RIFFERST RIFERST RIFERST RIFFERST RIFERST RIFFERST RIFFERST RIFFERST RIFFERST RIFFERST RIFFE</li></ul>	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the transparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	riod of extension and the corresponding amouse shortened statutory period for reply original	int of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ly set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 Septem</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereo			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	entered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would req	uire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (s	ee Note below);	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the ap issues for appeal; and/or	plication in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or simplifying th	
(d) they present additional claims witho	ut canceling a corresponding numl	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the follow</li></ol>	ring rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	I in a separate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) rapplication in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final reject		DLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed am explanation of how the new or amended			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	s follows:	Christyle L. Chi	
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>85-96,102-106,114-142</u> .		PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 18 <del>00 -</del> 16 41	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
_ , ,		lo(s).	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	Brilon R. D.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application N .

C ntinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/349,194

Ó

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment incorporates a new limitation into the claims, i.e. antibody specifically binds cardiac specific troponin in a ternary complex; thus requiring further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: further consideration is required in view of the prior art of record and further search is required so as to encompass the new issue set forth by Applicant.